

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/023,234	STADLER ET AL.
	Examiner George Manuel	Art Unit 3762

All Participants:

(1) George Manuel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Daniel Chapik.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 14 by Examiner's Amendment to add the feature of a low-energy normal processing mode and distinguish it from a high-energy guarded processing mode to place the application in condition for allowance..

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)